Feasibility Studies: Cora Kelly

Cora Kelly Community Meeting

June 9, 2021



Essential Questions

What is the priority of project timing?

Should ACPS pursue new construction or renovations?

What are the implications of potential layouts?

- Swing space on-site or off-site?
- Future considerations



Feasibility Study Timeline

Summer 2019:

Feasibility Study begins and contract with Studio27 finalized

January 2020:

Preliminary findings presented to School Board

March 2020:

Study put on hold due to COVID-19













Fall 2019: Initial draft completed

January 2020-February 2020:

Community meetings held and initial feedback received

January 2021:

Study resumes



What is a Feasibility Study?

It IS:

A way to identify what's

- possible on the site and how it could be configured
- A way to **identify challenges** with the site
- A way to more accurately plan for budget and schedule


It IS NOT:

- The only or **final site layout** that will be pursued
- The final program or design for the building and amenity space



Cora Kelly


- Built in 1955 on an undersized 4.5 acre lot.
- Current school building encroaches on the Public Open Space (POS) lot to the north and is close to the Resource Protection Area (RPA).
- Capacity of the shared gymnasium with the Recreation Center is nearing over-utilization.





Cora Kelly: Overview


- Project Scenarios explore options to address capacity and educational adjacencies
- Renovation and Addition versus Replacement of the school
- Will swing space be needed?





RPA and Floodplain


- New development or redevelopment will not encroach on the RPA, per City of Alexandria regulations.
- In 2022, new FEMA
 Floodplain maps will be adopted. Cora Kelly will be within Flood Zone AE and will have to meet all Floodplain requirements.





Scenario 1: Renovation and Addition


- New 28,000 SF addition and complete renovation of MEP to meet system and energy code
- Swing Space would be required
- Addition and renovation would not address the fragmented educational adjacencies or overutilization of shared gym





Scenario 2: Replacement School and Rec Center

- Replacement of the school building and the recreation center
- Swing Space is not required
- Relocation of the school to the northern portion of the site would require rezoning of the POS
- Coordinate with the existing AlexRenew facility.





Scenario 3: Replacement School (in-place)


- Replacement of the school in-place with a dedicated gym
- Off-site swing space is required
- Likely that the new school building would still encroach on the POS lot, due to the school co-location with the existing Rec Center





Scenario 4: Replacement School (in-place)

- Replacement of the school in-place, sharing the Rec Center gym
- Off-site swing space is required
- Likely that the new school building would still encroach on the POS lot, due to the school co-location with the existing Rec Center





Budget

Scenario 1: Renovation and Addition	\$48 Million		
Scenario 2: Replacement and Relocation New Rec Center	\$68 Million \$33 Million		
Scenario 3: Replacement, In-Place	\$68 Million		
Scenario 4: Replacement, In-Place, Shared Gym	\$68 Million		

Conceptual Budget does not include costs associated with Swing Space, if needed in the Scenario.



Future Considerations

Educational specifications will need to be finalized

Grade-level configurations, such as a K-8 school, can be evaluated and will need to be finalized in the future

Site-specific challenges such as stormwater and floodplain will need to be addressed during design phase

The co-location of uses like parks, recreation and community services would need to be determined



Summary

Given all factors, the school modernization projects **are in the correct order** (George Mason then Cora Kelly)



Neither school replacement **requires swing space** IF:

Re-zoning is permitted at public open space near Cora Kelly.

Safety, construction logistics, and community involvement are effectively coordinated.



Swing space **may be preferable** to maintain George Mason Park and limit rezoning of the Open Space at Cora Kelly

Path to Occupancy

	Now - 2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031
	Planning	Design		Constr	uction	Occupy			
	Now - FY23	FY24 -	- FY25	FY26 -	- FY27	FY28			
•	Finalize programs Explore alternatives	Bring on teamGo throuprocess	ugh DSUP			Move inStart School			

Planning	Design	Construction	Occupy	
Now - FY26	FY27 - FY28	FY29 - FY30	FY31	
Finalize programsExplore alternatives	Bring on design teamGo through DSUP process		Move inStart School	

*** This is a conceptual timeline. Timelines are subject to change***



George Mason





Questions?

Sophie Huemer, Planner II, sophie.huemer@acps.k12.va.us

Erika Gulick, Director of Capital Programs, Planning and Design, erika.gulick@acps.k12.va.us

Dr. Alicia Hart, Executive Director of Facilities and Operations, alicia.hart@acps.k12.va.us

Web Pages:

George Mason: https://www.acps.k12.va.us/Page/2363

Cora Kelly: https://www.acps.k12.va.us/Page/2364



Dr. Gregory C. Hutchings, Jr.

School Board

Meagan L. Alderton, Chair Veronica Nolan, Vice Chair Cindy Anderson Ramee A. Gentry Jacinta Greene Margaret Lorber Michelle Rief Christopher A. Suarez Heather Thornton